

Culture, Identity and Conflict in Asia and Southeast Asia

Aurel Croissant, Christoph Trinn

Summary

This essay analyzes cultural conflicts in Asia with particular emphasis on Southeast Asia. Cultural conflict is defined as those *domestic, inter-state or transnational political conflicts in which the actors involved focus on issues relating to religion, language and/or historicity*. The statistical analysis and the assessment of individual cases in this paper substantiate a number of conclusions. First, by global comparison, Asia is a region particularly prone to conflicts. Second, domestic conflicts about identity and especially historicity conflicts, predominate in the region. Third, the landscape of conflict in Asia is characterized by pronounced domestic conflicts of low intensities over identity. Fourth, conflicts in Asia are shaped by the dominance of "ethnic" actors. In recent decades the relevance of left-wing actors has declined, whereas the importance of religiously defined actors has increased. Fifth, compared with the rest of Asia, Southeast Asia is subject to a disproportionately large number of cultural conflicts. At the same time, however, it is important to note that in Southeast Asia there is no trend of further culturalization of conflicts in recent years. Rather, the identity conflicts in Southeast Asia seem to be very profound and as such are frequently quite resistant to de-escalation strategies. However, cultural conflicts in the region are almost exclusively of an internal nature and do not extend to inter-state relations.

Keywords: Asia, Southeast Asia, cultural conflicts, identity, actors

1 Introduction

This essay analyzes the phenomenon of cultural conflicts in Asia. By cultural conflicts we mean those domestic, inter-state or transnational political conflicts in which the actors involved focus on issues relating to religion, language and/or historicity. The adjective "cultural" does not refer here to the actors' motives in a conflict, but to the issue of the conflict. When defining a conflict as "cultural" it is not relevant "why" there is a dispute, but "what" is in dispute. The quantitative analysis relies on data from the "Conflict Information System" (CONIS) database established at the Heidelberg University's Institute of Political Science. CONIS evaluates information exclusively from news sources that are publicly accessible, assesses it qualitatively, and processes it with the aim to conduct an event data analysis.¹

¹ Like other conflict databases, CONIS is based on an evaluation of *open sources*. The evaluation of the information is by procedures that interpret the content.